



Survival Guide at Computer Vision and Intelligence Systems Laboratory

Guanghai (Richard) Wang

Computer Vision and Intelligence Systems Laboratory

Toronto Metropolitan University

Toronto Canada



Survival Guide

- ❖ This is a collection of advice and policies for prospective and current students in my group
- ❖ Any aspect of the policies can be flexibly adjusted in practice
- ❖ Most slides are excerpted directly from Dr. Toshiya Hachisuka's document "Graduate Study Survival Guide"

Before your graduate study

Undergraduate vs Graduate

- ❖ Undergraduate

- ❖ Learn subjects through textbooks and lectures

- ❖ **Passive** learning

- ❖ Graduate

- ❖ Investigate subjects by thinking and experiments

- ❖ **Active** learning

Undergraduate

- ❖ Your goal is to learn subjects listed by the school
- ❖ Instructors **already paved** your “study highway”
 - ❖ Fixed set of topics to learn
 - ❖ Courses end after several weeks
 - ❖ Answers are clear and usually well-defined
- ❖ Your success is measured by **grades**

Graduate

- ❖ Your goal is to investigate a topic of your choice
- ❖ You **decide how you proceed** your research
 - ❖ Choose your research theme (with some help)
 - ❖ No limit on how far you can go
 - ❖ Answers are unclear and often undefined
- ❖ Your success is measured by **academic outputs**

Masters vs PhD

- ❖ Likely to have slightly different goals
- ❖ After finishing Masters
 - ❖ Industry job, but not necessarily in CV
 - ❖ Gain a bit of experience in research
- ❖ After finishing PhD
 - ❖ Industry job in CV or academic job
 - ❖ Prove that you can independently do research

Masters vs PhD

- ❖ In general, I prefer to accept students who are willing to continue until PhDs because:
 1. The duration of MS is too short for you to be able to complete research by yourself
 2. I would like to work on actual research with you, not just help you to obtain a degree
 3. You will have more career options with a PhD (especially in computer science)

Think twice and more

- ❖ Lots of information available to help you decide whether you should pursue graduate study
- ❖ Your life will be **hard** if your main reason is
 - ❖ Only to get a better job (there's **no** guarantee)
 - ❖ Someone told you to do so (lacking **motivation**)
 - ❖ Learn subjects more (merely **a part of** research)
 - ❖ Only to pass with a degree (not a good **fit**)

Think twice and more

- ❖ **Contact me** before you apply
- ❖ I generally want to accept a student who already has some knowledge of computer vision
(If you haven't done, what are you waiting for?
Why not study computer vision now?)
- ❖ Show me how you've learned computer vision
- ❖ If you think it doesn't fit, consider another group
- ❖ **Both** of us can be unhappy due to the mismatch

Personality checklist

- ❖ Are you very interested in research?
 - ❖ Are you moderately ambitious?
 - ❖ Are you persistent in a good way?
 - ❖ Are you mentally and physically tough?
 - ❖ Are you optimistic?
-
- ❖ If your answers to the above questions are all yes, one day, you might become a great researcher

Admission at TMU

- ❖ Apply through the official system
- ❖ I look for students who have both motivation and proven skills to be successful in research
- ❖ Gain research experience in computer vision
- ❖ Just doing well in courses won't be enough
- ❖ Admission is extremely competitive, but if you demonstrate a strong potential in research, you will have a good chance!

Preparing for graduate study

- ❖ Establish **solid and basic** knowledge/skills for what you want to investigate during your study
- ❖ Read technical papers and figure out what you need to learn to fully understand them
- ❖ No need to have concrete research topics yet (unless you are quite familiar with the latest work)
- ❖ If you have a chance to work on a research project, work hard and learn what to do in research

During your study

General goal

- ❖ Being able to tackle problems scientifically
- ❖ Look at things objectively
- ❖ Think logically and critically
- ❖ Make hypotheses
- ❖ Design experiments
- ❖ Communicate your thoughts

General policies

- ❖ **Enjoy** your research
- ❖ You have **freedom** to work on topics you love
- ❖ You are **responsible** for your daily work
- ❖ Communication and publication are **important**
- ❖ Tasks in your study must be done in **English**
- ❖ I'll give you advice and help you to achieve **your goal**

Enjoy your research

- ❖ Work on things that you **really love**
- ❖ “Enjoying” does not always mean “playing”
 - ❖ Hard work can be **equally** enjoyable
- ❖ The key is that you work **hard** because you **love** it
 - ❖ **Not** because you need to do it, or somebody like your supervisor told you to do so
 - ❖ Great researchers I know all have this personality

You and your work

- ❖ **You are responsible** for your daily work
 - ❖ Make progress toward the deadline
 - ❖ Allocate working hours per day
 - ❖ Decide where and when you work
 - ❖ Regularly report your progress to me
 - ❖ Initiate discussion with me
- ❖ **Note:** I am supervising many students, not just you

Advisor-Student relationship

- ❖ The relationship is not symmetric
 - ❖ I am the only advisor for you
 - ❖ You are **not** my only student
- ❖ In a certain sense, you need to **grab my attention**
 - ❖ Initiate discussion and communicate with me!
- ❖ Remind me periodically what you are trying to solve, what we discussed, problems, plan, etc.

Advisor-Student relationship

- ❖ You are an (inexperienced) **junior researcher**, not my servant or my people, which means that
 - ❖ I don't force you to work on a specific topic
 - ❖ I don't micromanage your daily work
 - ❖ I expect you to be self-motivating
 - ❖ I expect you to have your own opinions
 - ❖ I expect you to be critical on me when necessary

Advisor-Student relationship

- ❖ Balance between having your own opinions and following what your advisor told you to do
- ❖ You do not want to blindly follow what your advisor told you. Digest it by yourself first.
- ❖ However, do not just ignore what your advisor told you. Your advisor wants you to be successful, and ignoring what they say won't be a good idea in general. Ask your advisor if you are not sure.

Three rules of questions

1. Ask **any question**

❖ No question is bad. Asking no question is bad.

2. Ask **any time**

❖ No need to try “finding” a good time for me

3. **Don't** speculate

❖ What I tell you is what I think; no hidden words

❖ Negative answer doesn't mean that I hate you

Communication

- ❖ Very important that **you initiate** communication
- ❖ Report your status and progress
- ❖ Notify me anything that needs my attention
- ❖ Schedule a meeting when you want
- ❖ Do not wait until I ask you “how’re things going?”
 - ❖ If you are asked, then you’d better not next time
- ❖ Many troubles are caused by miscommunication

Communication

❖ **Don't do the followings**

- ❖ You are not sure what to do or how to solve a problem, but you **do not talk to anyone**.
- ❖ You **haven't talked to** me for a month because there has been no meeting (whatever the reason).
- ❖ You **do not listen to** what other people say just because you do not feel like doing so.

Communication

- ❖ **Instead, do the followings**
 - ❖ You are not sure how to solve a problem, so you **explain** it other people to see what they think.
 - ❖ You **initiate** communication with me to tell me you have been working on.
 - ❖ You listen to what other people say and try **both** what you think and what other people suggested.

Lectures and grades

- ❖ As I mentioned, your success is not measured based on how well you did in lectures
- ❖ Use lectures to bootstrap your study in some relevant fields to your research
- ❖ Don't focus on getting a good grade
- ❖ Instead, focus on learning some good ideas that might be related to your research

Research topic

- ❖ You don't need to have a concrete research topic ready when you enter the Master's program
- ❖ I will support you to come up with one
- ❖ For PhD students, I expect something concrete

- ❖ Can take a long time (e.g., six months) to choose a topic if you are not sure what you want to do

Research topic

- ❖ You are free to work on a topic you like, but since I want your work to be meaningful, your research topic has to satisfy the two important criteria:
- ❖ You are interested in solving it
- ❖ The topic is promising and people in the field are interested in seeing a solution to it
- ❖ If you have no idea at all, I'll give you ideas
- ❖ Are you sure that you really have **no** idea?

Research topic

- ❖ Can you do what you want to do?
- ❖ Bad news: you have a limited amount of time in your graduate study, but you still need to do it.
- ❖ Working on something that you don't know at all might be very risky.
- ❖ Good news: you will learn a lot and might be able to do what you couldn't do.
- ❖ It's a tough question, but don't ignore this aspect.

Publication

- ❖ Most **important** but **stressful** aspect
- ❖ I expect you to **publish** papers in top venues
- ❖ I will help you to write papers, but **don't** make me write a whole paper for you
- ❖ Papers are useful for job hunting
- ❖ Very good way to hone logical thinking skills
- ❖ Solid proof of your skills and knowledge

Publication - Ideal World

- ❖ In an ideal academic world...
 - ❖ Where you publish your paper doesn't matter
 - ❖ How many you published doesn't matter, because one paper might be extremely good
 - ❖ People respect your work regardless of those
- ❖ Let's face it: **in reality, where you publish and how many papers you have do matter.**

Publication - Real World

- ❖ Not all publication venues are the same
 - ❖ Some are highly regarded, many are not
 - ❖ Publication in very little-known venues can actually **damage** your work
- ❖ Top-tier: CVPR, ICCV, ECCV, NeurIPS, ICML, AAAI, ICLR, MICCAI, ICRA, etc.
- ❖ Aim for top-tier to **max** the benefit/effort ratio
 - ❖ I'd say, "Why not?"

My expectation on a MS student

- ❖ One paper should be submitted (hopefully accepted)
- ❖ Encouraged to submit to the best venue
- ❖ Second-tier venues are acceptable
- ❖ Aim to complete your project in one year
- ❖ Your submission becomes the basis of your thesis

My expectation on a PhD student

- ❖ At least three full papers should be published
 - ❖ At least one paper published in a top venue
 - ❖ Others can be at second-tier venues
 - ❖ Aim to submit two papers per year
 - ❖ Have my permission before submission
- ❖ Acceptance can be a bit random, so review scores above the average can be counted as “published”

What if...

- ❖ “What if I couldn’t pass your expectation?”
- ❖ Asking this question is **already wrong**
 - ❖ You are **not** working for me
 - ❖ Nobody (including myself) forces you to do so
- ❖ Failure is a natural part of any research, so I personally understand even if you couldn’t make it
- ❖ Job hunting will be a different question since I don’t give you a job offer. Yes, the reality sucks.

Go (way) beyond my expectation

- ❖ Satisfying my expectation **should not** be your goal
 - ❖ Your research is yours
 - ❖ It's not me who decides your success
 - ❖ Other people judge how well you did
 - ❖ Check how your peers (internationally) do
- ❖ In my opinion, my expectation is bare minimum
 - I want you to be internationally competitive

Webpage

- ❖ You **should** have your professional homepage
- ❖ Extremely important for job hunting
- ❖ Recruiters might look at your webpage
- ❖ Consider it as an online CV and be professional
- ❖ See other's webpage to find out what to list
- ❖ Do not put an internal research report
 - ❖ Someone can steal your ideas and publish papers

Authorship

- ❖ Authorship **matters** and can raise conflicts
- ❖ How people perceive you in general
 - ❖ First author - “this person did all the dirty work”
 - ❖ Last author - “probably the advisor”
 - ❖ The rest - “maybe they did something”
- ❖ Your thesis should include only first-authored work
 - ❖ Including non-first-authored work can be tricky

Authorship

- ❖ Multiple students in the same paper can be tricky
 - ❖ Order **matters** (i.e., who should be the first?)
 - ❖ **Dilution** effect of contributions (who did what?)
 - ❖ Who puts the resulting work into her/his **thesis**?
- ❖ In general, I avoid “multiple students per paper”
 - ❖ Discussion and collaboration among students is highly **encouraged**

Authorship

- ❖ My preferred style You -
 - ❖ first author
 - ❖ Others (if any), gift authorship is **prohibited**
 - ❖ Me - last author
- ❖ Benefits are twofold
 - ❖ You have **full** ownership of your project
 - ❖ **No conflict** on authorship with your peer

Your schedule

- ❖ Your schedule is driven by paper **deadlines**
- ❖ **Select** the publication venue
- ❖ Think about **milestones** toward the deadline
- ❖ Aim to have a submittable paper **one or two weeks** before the deadline
- ❖ **Adjust** milestones as you go
- ❖ I'll help you to make and adjust your schedule

Your schedule

- ❖ Don't expect me to miraculously save you right before the deadline - instead, discuss with me regularly to adjust the plan
- ❖ Many people procrastinate and do a lot of last minutes work, but that **doesn't** mean it's good
- ❖ I recommend you work in the lab during “**normal**” hours
- ❖ Manage your working hours efficiently.
- ❖ **Always** think about your research

Research fellowship

- ❖ I encourage you to apply for any of them that you are eligible (never think “I am not good enough”)
- ❖ Provides you three great benefits
 - ❖ Opportunity to step back (what is a big picture of your research and why it’s interesting?)
 - ❖ Financial security (money!)
 - ❖ Network with external people (potential jobs)

Managing your data

- ❖ Use a version control system
 - ❖ For your future job (coding with many people)
 - ❖ For collaboration with external researchers
 - ❖ To share data with me and colleagues
- ❖ Backup
- ❖ Put everything there (papers, data, code)
- ❖ **Don't** open source your data before publication

Scientific misconduct

- ❖ You as a researcher will **DIE** if you do any of them
 - ❖ Plagiarism - steal someone's (incl. your own) work
 - ❖ Falsification - modify results (e.g., photoshopping)
 - ❖ Fabrication - make up results that you don't have
- ❖ Zero tolerance (no degree is considered fine)
 - ❖ If I found out that you did any one of them in your work, I will urge you to leave my group

Mental issues

- ❖ Unfortunately, research can be mentally harsh and you can suffer from mental issues due to
 - ❖ Rejections of papers you worked for years
 - ❖ Couldn't get a job you like
 - ❖ Interpersonal troubles
- ❖ Remember: **“Graduate study is not all of your life”**
- ❖ Leaving your study can be the best option

Mental issues

- ❖ Some potential sign of mental issues
 - ❖ You **haven't communicated** with me (be it online or offline) more than a month
 - ❖ You are facing difficult problems but **never discussed** with anyone including colleagues
 - ❖ You are not sure what to do now/next, but you **haven't asked help** from anyone
- ❖ In general, ask for help - I am available for you

Toward graduation

Job hunting

- ❖ Successful job hunting requires
 - ❖ **Preparation** (good record of publication etc.)
 - ❖ **Action** (apply to anywhere you see your work)
 - ❖ **Luck** (may not have an opening that fits you)
- ❖ You can do your best on the first two, but be prepared and think flexibly when you are unlucky
- ❖ Let's face it: best ones might not land best jobs

Career options

- ❖ Masters
 - ❖ Industry (generally not involving research)
 - ❖ Video game companies, movie production, or completely different things
- ❖ PhD
- ❖ Startup
- ❖ International options if you do well

Career options

- ❖ PhD
 - ❖ Academia
 - ❖ Very competitive
 - ❖ Industry (may or may not involve research)
 - ❖ International jobs are more available
- ❖ Postdoc
- ❖ Startup

Industry

- ❖ Potentially a good option salary-wise
 - ❖ Some bad exceptions exist (be aware)
- ❖ Usually less flexible
 - ❖ Your boss might decide what you need to do
 - ❖ Hard deadlines (missing ones = losing money)
 - ❖ Collaborative work (your work is not yours)
- ❖ Might be unrelated to your research

Industry research lab

- ❖ Might be a good mix of industry and academia
 - ❖ Google, Microsoft, Nvidia, Intel, etc...
- ❖ Sometimes flexible, sometimes not
- ❖ Salary can be quite good
- ❖ Historically, they do not last very long...
 - ❖ Change of policies, sudden budget cuts, etc.
- ❖ Patenting hell (what you've done is not yours)

National research lab

- ❖ Similar to industry lab
 - ❖ Just not profit-oriented
 - ❖ No (or less) teaching
- ❖ Long-term job security compared to industry lab
- ❖ Research topic and publication might not be flexible
 - ❖ Strategic goals might be already there
 - ❖ Might be forced to work on things you don't care

Startup

- ❖ Usually, buyout by a big company is the goal
 - ❖ Google, Facebook, Intel, etc.
- ❖ High risk, high return (money and recognition)
- ❖ Do it if you have a vision and necessary resources:
 - ❖ Tough mind and body
 - ❖ Help from other people
 - ❖ Have network

Academia

- ❖ Most flexible with less monetary benefit
 - ❖ Can work on what you want (up to funding)
 - ❖ Your work is yours and you are your boss
- ❖ Many different kinds of tasks in one job
 - ❖ Teaching, mentoring, advising, researching, fundraising, and managing - yes, it's chaotic
- ❖ **Extremely** competitive job market

Academia

- ❖ Tenure (permanent position)
 - ❖ Tenure evaluation comes after several years
 - ❖ May or may not happen in the same university
 - ❖ Criteria vary a lot, but “publish or perish”
- ❖ Not so much job security until you get tenure
 - ❖ Be prepared and open for other career options
 - ❖ Non-permanent post is increasingly typical

Postdoc

- ❖ Temporary research job toward a faculty position
- ❖ Usually a few years of fixed-term contract
- ❖ No guarantee of a “better” next job
- ❖ Not well paid
- ❖ Increasingly typical for a PhD student who wants to ultimately land a faculty job
- ❖ Be prepared and open for other career options

THANK YOU